

Algebras of Jordan brackets and Generalized Poisson algebras

Ivan Kaygorodov^{a,b1}

^a Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo Andre, Brazil.

^b Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, Russia.

e-mail: kib@ime.usp.br

Abstract.

We construct a basis of free unital generalized Poisson superalgebras and a basis of free unital superalgebras of Jordan brackets. Also, we prove the analogue of Farkas' Theorem for PI unital generalized Poisson algebras and PI unital algebras of Jordan brackets. Relations between generic Poisson superalgebras and superalgebras of Jordan brackets will be studied.

MSC2010: 17C70, 17B01, 17B63

1. INTRODUCTION.

Many interesting and important results have been obtained about the structure of polynomial algebras, free associative algebras, and free Lie algebras. Although free Poisson algebras are closely connected with these algebras, only a few results on their structure are known. Free Poisson superalgebras were introduced in [1]. For example, the free Poisson algebra of rank three and Poisson brackets were used recently in [2, 3] to prove that the Nagata automorphism of the polynomial algebra $F[x, y, z]$ over a field F of characteristic 0 is wild. Systematic study of free Poisson algebras was initiated in [4], and subsequently continued in [5]-[8]. Generic Poisson algebras were studied in [9]. Other generalizations of Poisson superalgebras are generalized Poisson superalgebras and superalgebras of Jordan brackets. As follows from [10, 11], every Poisson superalgebra is a superalgebra of Jordan brackets. In [12, 13] all identities whose define unital superalgebras of Jordan brackets was described, and in [14] analogue for non-unital case was obtained. Superalgebras of Jordan brackets play important role in classification of simple finite-dimensional Jordan superalgebras [15]. The Kantor construction gives interesting relations between Novikov-Poisson algebras and Jordan superalgebras [16].

Recall the notion of **Kantor's Double** [11]. Let $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ be an associative supercommutative superalgebra and $\{ , \} : A \times A \rightarrow A$ — super-anticommutative multiplication, which we shall call a bracket. Using superalgebra A and bracket $\{ , \}$ we can construct superalgebra $K(A)$. Consider the following direct sum of spaces $K(A) = A \oplus Ax$, where Ax is an isomorphic copy of the space A . Let a and b are homogeneous elements in A . Then multiplication $*$ on $J(A, \{ , \})$ is defined by the relations

$$a * b = ab, \quad a * bx = (ab)x,$$

$$ax * b = (-1)^{|b|}(ab)x, \quad ax * bx = (-1)^{|b|}\{a, b\}.$$

We set $K(A)_0 = A_0 \oplus A_1x$, $K(A)_1 = A_1 \oplus A_0x$, so $K(A)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra.

That is, superalgebra JB is called a superalgebra of Jordan brackets, if the Kantor Double is a Jordan superalgebra. As follows from [12, 13], every superalgebra JB with associative-supercommutative multiplication $\cdot$ and superanticommutative multiplication $\{ , \}$ satisfies

$$\{a, bc\} = \{a, b\}c + (-1)^{|a||b|}b\{a, c\} - D(a)bc, \quad (1)$$

$$\{a, \{b, c\}\} = \{\{a, b\}, c\} + (-1)^{|a||b|}\{b, \{a, c\}\} +$$

$$D(a)\{b, c\} + (-1)^{|a||bc|}D(b)\{c, a\} + (-1)^{|c||ab|}D(c)\{a, b\}, \quad (2)$$

where $D(a) = \{a, 1\}$, is a superalgebra of Jordan brackets. Note that D is an even derivation of superalgebra $(JB, \cdot)$. If $D = 0$, then superalgebra $(JB, \cdot, \{ , \})$ is a Poisson superalgebra [10].

¹The author was supported by RFBR 15-31-21169 and by FAPESP 11/51132-9 and 14/24519-8.

V. Kac and N. Cantarini studied linearly-compact simple superalgebras of Jordan brackets [17], I. Kaygorodov and V. Zhelyabin studied δ -superderivations of simple superalgebras of Jordan brackets [18], the relation between Jordan brackets and Poisson brackets was studied in [19] by E. Zelmanov, I. Shestakov and C. Martinez, and in [18, 20] special superalgebras of Jordan brackets were considered. As follows from [17], we can consider new multiplication $\{a, b\}_D = \{a, b\} + \frac{1}{2}(aD(b) - D(a)b)$ and $D_D = \frac{1}{2}D$, and we obtain new superalgebra with following identities

$$\{a, bc\}_D = \{a, b\}_D c + (-1)^{|a||b|} b \{a, c\}_D - D_D(a)bc, \quad (3)$$

$$\{a, \{b, c\}_D\}_D = \{\{a, b\}_D, c\}_D + (-1)^{|a||b|} \{b, \{a, c\}_D\}_D. \quad (4)$$

It is a generalized Poisson superalgebra.

All vector spaces are considered over fields with characteristic zero.

2. A BASIS OF FREE GENERALIZED POISSON SUPERALGEBRA.

The description of a basis of a free algebraic structure is one of the main problems in modern algebra. Researchers constructed bases for free Lie algebras [21, 22], free Lie superalgebras [23], partially commutative Lie algebras [24] and so on.

Let us now consider free unital generalized Poisson superalgebras. Let D_X be a free non-associative super-dialgebra over field k with set of even and odd generators $X = X_0 \cup X_1 = \{1, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, and two multiplications $\cdot$ and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$.

We consider ideal I of super-dialgebra D_X , generated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \{a, b\} + (-1)^{|a||b|} \{b, a\}, a \cdot b - (-1)^{|a||b|} b \cdot a, 1 \cdot a - a, \\ & \{a, b\} \cdot c + (-1)^{|a||b|} b \cdot \{a, c\} - D(a) \cdot b \cdot c - \{a, b \cdot c\}, \\ & \{ \{a, b\}, c \} + (-1)^{|a||b|} \{b, \{a, c\}\} - \{a, \{b, c\}\} \mid a, b, c \in D_{X_0} \cup D_{X_1} \}, \end{aligned}$$

where $D(a) = \{a, 1\}$. We say, that super-dialgebra D_X/I is a free unital generalized Poisson superalgebra.

Let $GenP$ be a free unital generalized Poisson superalgebra with a set of generators X . We assume, that X is a linearly ordered set and $1 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$. All elements from X are good words. Let we defined all good words with length less than n . The word w with length n in alphabet X is a good word, if:

- 1) $w = \{u, v\}$, where u, v are good words and $u > v$;
- 2) if $w = \{\{u_1, u_2\}, v\}$, then $u_2 \leq v$.

We define the order on good words with length less or equal n such that it be consistent with order to correct for existing words, and satisfy the conditions if word w is a good and $w = \{u, v\}$, then $w > u, v$.

Define the set M as

$$M = \{u, \{v, v\} \mid u, v \text{ are good words in alphabet } X, v \in GenP_1\}.$$

We define a linear order on the set M . Let $u > v$, if $deg(u) > deg(v)$; if $deg(u) = deg(v)$, then for $u = \{u_1, u_2\}, v = \{v_1, v_2\}$ (where $u_1 > u_2, v_1 > v_2$) we say $u > v$ if $u_1 > v_1$, and if $u_1 = v_1$ we say $u > v$ if $u_2 > v_2$. The elements of set M we denote as e_i , where $e_i < e_j$ if $i < j$.

Let L_X be a free non-associative superalgebra over field k with set of generators X and multiplication $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_L$. Consider the ideal J of superalgebra L_X , generated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \{a, b\} + (-1)^{|a||b|} \{b, a\}, \\ & \{ \{a, b\}, c \} + (-1)^{|a||b|} \{b, \{a, c\}\} - \{a, \{b, c\}\} \mid a, b, c \in L_{X_0} \cup L_{X_1} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Superalgebra L_X/J is a free Lie superalgebra [25]. As proved in [25], the set M is a basis of the free Lie superalgebra L_X/J .

Let K be an ideal in D_X generated by elements with type $\{x \cdot y \mid x, y \neq 1\}$. It is easy to see, that $(D_X/I)/K \cong L_X/J$.

Let

$$U = \{e_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots e_{i_n}^{k_n} \mid e_{i_1} \neq 1, e_{i_m} \in M, i_1 < \dots < i_n\} \cup \{1\}.$$

The set U is closed under multiplication $\cdot$. The multiplication $\cdot$ is a supercommutative, and we can consider elements of M as $e_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots e_{i_n}^{k_n}$, where $k_i \neq 0$, and if $e_{i_j} \in GenP_1$, then $k_j = 1$. We say that element

$e_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots e_{i_n}^{k_n}$ has degree $\deg_e = \sum k_i$. For element $e_i \in M$ we define $\deg_L$ as the length of word e_i with generators X . The degree of element f is the number of generator elements in word f .

The main goal of this chapter is a description of the basis of free unital generalized Poisson superalgebras. We will prove that set U is a basis of free unital generalized Poisson superalgebras.

Lemma 1. *The set U is a basis of free superalgebra $GenP$ as a vector space.*

Proof. We consider word w with degree 2. It is easy to see that w has type $x_i x_j$, or $\{x_i, x_j\}$, or $\{1, x_i\}$, and $w \in U$. We prove that every word with degree n is a linear combination of elements from U . That is every element of superalgebra $GenP$ is a linear combination of elements from U . We will use induction. Let every word with degree less than n be a linear combination of elements from U . Then $w = w_1 w_2$ or $w = \{w_1, w_2\}$, where w_1, w_2 are words with degree less than n , that is, w_1 and w_2 are linear combinations of elements from U .

First case: $w_1 = \sum_i \alpha_{1i} m_{1i}$ and $w_2 = \sum_i \alpha_{2i} m_{2i}$, where $m_{1i}, m_{2i} \in U, \alpha_{1i}, \alpha_{2i} \in k$. That is, $w = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_{1i} \alpha_{2j} m_{1i} m_{2j}$ and using closed U under multiplication $\cdot$, we can say that w is a $\sum_i \alpha_i m_i, m_i \in U, \alpha_i \in k$.

Second case: $w_1 = \sum_i \alpha_{1i} m_{1i}$ and $w_2 = \sum_i \alpha_{2i} m_{2i}$, where $m_{1i}, m_{2i} \in U, \alpha_{1i}, \alpha_{2i} \in k$. That is, $w = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \{m_i, m_j\}$. We consider arbitrary summand $\{m_i, m_j\}$. If we can consider m_i or m_j as $n_1 n_2$, where $n_i \in U, n_i \neq 1$, then, we can say that m_j has type $n_1 n_2$. Using the relation

$$\{m_i, n_1 n_2\} = \{m_i, n_1\} n_2 + (-1)^{|m_i||n_1|} n_1 \{m_i, n_2\} - D(m_i) n_1 n_2,$$

and note that degrees of elements $\{m_i, n_1\}, \{m_i, n_2\}, D(m_i)$ less than n and $n_1, n_2 \in U$, we can say that elements $\{m_i, n_1\}, \{m_i, n_2\}, D(m_i)$ are linear combinations of elements from U , and w is a linear combination of elements from U .

If m_i and m_j is not of type $n_1 n_2$, where $n_1, n_2 \in U$ and $n_1, n_2 \neq 1$, then $m_i, m_j \in M$. That is, if $m_i = m_j \in GenP_1$, then $\{m_i, m_j\} \in M$. In the other case, $m_i \neq m_j$ and we can say that $m_i > m_j$ and if $m_i = \{m_{1i}, m_{2i}\}, m_{1i} \geq m_{2i}$ then for $m_{2i} \leq m_j$ it follows that $\{m_i, m_j\} \in M$, for $m_{2i} > m_j$ it follows that

$$\{m_i, m_j\} = \{\{m_{1i}, m_j\}, m_{2i}\} + (-1)^{|m_{1i}||m_j|} \{m_{1i}, \{m_{2i}, m_j\}\},$$

where $\{\{m_{1i}, m_j\}, m_{2i}\} \in M$. If $\{m_{1i}, \{m_{2i}, m_j\}\} \in M$, then we obtained that $\{m_i, m_j\}$ is a linear combination of elements from U , or we can consider m_{1i} as $\{, \}$ -multiplication of elements m_{11i} and m_{21i} . After that, we can continue the process, using identity (4). Note that, degree of m_i is greater than degree of m_{1i} , degree of m_{1i} is greater than degree of m_{11i} , and so on. This process will be finite. That is, the lemma is proved.

For element $b = \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{t_k}$ we say $\frac{b}{e_m} = e_1^{t_1} \dots e_{m-1}^{t_{m-1}} e_m^{t_m-1} e_{m+1}^{t_{m+1}} \dots e_n^{t_n}$.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, e_k^{t_k}\} &= \{a, e_k^{t_k-1}\} e_k + (-1)^{|a||e_k^{t_k-1}|} e_k^{t_k-1} \{a, e_k\} - D(a) e_k^{t_k} = \\ \{a, e_k^{t_k-2}\} e_k^2 &+ (-1)^{|a||e_k^{t_k-2}|} e_k^{t_k-2} \{a, e_k\} e_k + (-1)^{|a||e_k^{t_k-1}|} e_k^{t_k-1} \{a, e_k\} - 2D(a) e_k^{t_k} = \\ &\dots \\ &= t_k \{a, e_k\} e_k^{t_k-1} - (t_k - 1) D(a) e_k^{t_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this notations, we can conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, b\} &= \{a, \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{t_k}\} = \left\{a, \frac{b}{e_n^{t_n}}\right\} e_n^{t_n} + (-1)^{|a||\frac{b}{e_n^{t_n}}|} \frac{b}{e_n^{t_n}} \{a, e_n^{t_n}\} - D(a) b = \\ &\left\{a, \frac{b}{e_{n-1}^{t_{n-1}} e_n^{t_n}}\right\} e_{n-1}^{t_{n-1}} e_n^{t_n} + (-1)^{|a||\frac{b}{e_{n-1}^{t_{n-1}} e_n^{t_n}}|} \frac{b}{e_{n-1}^{t_{n-1}} e_n^{t_n}} \{a, e_{n-1}^{t_{n-1}}\} e_n^{t_n} + \\ &(-1)^{|a||\frac{b}{e_n^{t_n}}|} \frac{b}{e_n^{t_n}} \{a, e_n^{t_n}\} - 2D(a) b = \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{|e_1^{t_1} \dots e_{k-1}^{t_{k-1}}| |e_k^{t_k}|} t_k \{a, e_k\} \frac{b}{e_k} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n t_k - 1 \right) D(a)b.$$

We define linear mapping $*$: $U \rightarrow U$ as:

- 1) if $w \in X$, then $w^* = w$;
- 2) if $w \in M$, then $w^* = w$;
- 3) if $a = \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{a_k}, b = \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{b_k}$, then

$$(ab)^* = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^n |e_i^{a_i}| |e_{i+1}^{b_{i+1}} \dots e_n^{b_n}|} \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{a_k + b_k}.$$

4) if we defined mapping $*$ for all words with length less than n , then word $w = \{w_1, w_2\}$, where $w_1, w_2 \in M$, we can consider as element of free Lie superalgebra $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ and can define w^* as a linear combination of basis elements of superalgebra $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$, that is w be a linear combination of elements of M .

5) if we defined mapping $*$ for all words with length less than m , then for word $w = \{w_1, w_2\}$ with length m , where w_1 or w_2 is an element of $U \setminus M$, we can say that $w_2 \in U \setminus M$ and $w_2 = \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{t_k}$, then $(\{w_1, w_2\})^* =$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{|e_1^{t_1} \dots e_{k-1}^{t_{k-1}}| |e_k^{t_k}|} t_k \left(\{w_1, e_k\}^* \frac{w_2}{e_k} \right)^* - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n t_k - 1 \right) (D(w_1)^* w_2)^*,$$

where in the right part of expression elements with type $\{x, y\}^*$ was defined above.

Theorem 2. *The set U is a basis of free superalgebra $GenP$.*

Proof. We consider set U as a basis of a vector space and define two new multiplications $*$ and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}^*$ by: $u * v = (uv)^*$ and $\{u, v\}^* = (\{u, v\})^*$. It is a superalgebra $(U, *, \{\cdot, \cdot\}^*)$.

We want to prove that superalgebra $(U, *, \{\cdot, \cdot\}^*)$ is a generalized Poisson superalgebra. After that we can say that U is a basis of free generalized Poisson superalgebra $GenP$.

It is easy to see that multiplication $*$ is associative and supercommutative, and multiplication $\{\cdot, \cdot\}^*$ is superanticommutative. We want to prove that superalgebra $(U, *, \{\cdot, \cdot\}^*)$ satisfies

$$\{a, b * c\}^* = \{a, b\}^* * c + (-1)^{|a||b|} b * \{a, c\}^* - D(a)^* * b * c. \quad (5)$$

We say that $a, b, c \in U$ and will prove by induction on $deg_e(a) + deg_e(b) + deg_e(c)$.

Note that

$$\{1, e_i * e_j\}^* = \{1, e_i\}^* * e_j + e_i * \{1, e_j\}^*,$$

$$\{e_k, e_i * e_j\}^* = \{e_k, e_i\}^* * e_j + (-1)^{|e_k||e_i|} e_i * \{e_k, e_j\}^* - D(e_k)^* * e_i * e_j$$

by the definition of mapping $*$. That is, if $deg_e(a) + deg_e(b) + deg_e(c) < 4$, then identity (5) is true.

Let $deg_e(a) + deg_e(b) + deg_e(c) > 3$. We can say that $b = \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{b_k}, c = \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{c_k}$ and define new notations:

$$\gamma(b, c) = \sum_{i=1}^n |e_i^{b_i}| |e_{i+1}^{c_{i+1}} \dots e_n^{c_n}| \text{ and } \mu(b, c, k) = |e_1^{b_1+c_1} \dots e_{k-1}^{b_{k-1}+c_{k-1}}| |e_k^{b_k+c_k}|.$$

That is,

$$D(a) * b * c = (-1)^{\gamma(b, c)} D(a) * \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{b_k+c_k}. \quad (6)$$

We can see that

$$(-1)^{\gamma(b, c)} \{a, b * c\}^* = \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\mu(b,c,k)} (b_k + c_k) \{a, e_k\}^* * \frac{\prod_{m=1}^n e_m^{b_m+c_m}}{e_k} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (b_k + c_k) - 1 \right) D(a)^* * \prod_{m=1}^n e_m^{b_m+c_m}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, b\}^* * c &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{|e_1^{b_1} \dots e_{k-1}^{b_{k-1}}| |e_k^{b_k}|} b_k \{a, e_k\}^* * \frac{b}{e_k} * c - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k - 1 \right) D(a)^* * b * c = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{|e_1^{b_1} \dots e_{k-1}^{b_{k-1}}| |e_k^{b_k}| + \sum_{i=1}^n |e_i^{b_i}| |e_{i+1}^{c_{i+1}}| \dots e_n^{c_n} - |e_k| |e_1^{c_1} \dots e_{k-1}^{c_{k-1}}|} b_k \{a, e_k\}^* * \frac{\prod_{m=1}^n e_m^{b_m+c_m}}{e_k} \\ &\quad - (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^n |e_i^{b_i}| |e_{i+1}^{c_{i+1}}| \dots e_n^{c_n}|} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k - 1 \right) D(a)^* * \prod_{m=1}^n e_m^{b_m+c_m}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, b\}^* * c &= \\ (-1)^{\gamma(b,c)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\mu(b,c,k)} b_k \{a, e_k\}^* * \frac{\prod_{m=1}^n e_m^{b_m+c_m}}{e_k} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k - 1 \right) D(a)^* * \prod_{m=1}^n e_m^{b_m+c_m} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{|c||b|} \{a, c\}^* * b &= (-1)^{|c||b|} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{|e_1^{c_1} \dots e_{k-1}^{c_{k-1}}| |e_k^{c_k}|} c_k \{a, e_k\}^* * \frac{c}{e_k} * b - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k - 1 \right) D(a)^* * b * c = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{|e_k^{c_k}| |b| + |e_k| |e_1^{c_1} \dots e_{k-1}^{c_{k-1}}| + \sum_{i=1}^n |e_i^{b_i}| |e_{i+1}^{c_{i+1}}| \dots e_n^{c_n} - |e_k| |e_{k+1}^{b_{k+1}} \dots e_n^{b_n}|} b_k \{a, e_k\}^* * \frac{\prod_{m=1}^n e_m^{b_m+c_m}}{e_k} \\ &\quad - (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^n |e_i^{b_i}| |e_{i+1}^{c_{i+1}}| \dots e_n^{c_n}|} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k - 1 \right) D(a)^* * \prod_{m=1}^n e_m^{b_m+c_m}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{|c||b|} \{a, c\}^* * b &= \\ (-1)^{\gamma(b,c)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{\mu(b,c,k)} c_k \{a, e_k\}^* * \frac{\prod_{m=1}^n e_m^{b_m+c_m}}{e_k} - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k - 1 \right) D(a)^* * \prod_{m=1}^n e_m^{b_m+c_m} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In the end, summing (6) and (7) and subtracting (8) and (9), we can obtain that superalgebra $(U, *, \{, \}^*)$ satisfies (5).

We want to prove that the superalgebra satisfies the identity

$$\{a, \{b, c\}^*\}^* = \{\{a, b\}^*, c\}^* + (-1)^{|a||b|} \{b, \{a, c\}^*\}^*. \quad (10)$$

If $a, b, c \in M$, then identity (10) is true, because $(M, \{, \}^*)$ is a free Lie superalgebra.

Let $a = a_1 * a_2$, where $a_1, a_2 \neq 1$ and $a_1, a_2 \in U$, then using (5), we can obtain

$$\{\{a_1 * a_2, b\}^*, c\}^* + (-1)^{|a||b|} \{b, \{a_1 * a_2, c\}^*\}^* =$$

$$\begin{aligned} &- (-1)^{|b||a|} \{\{b, a_1\}^* * a_2 + (-1)^{|b||a_1|} a_1 * \{b, a_2\}^* - D(b)^* a, c\}^* - \\ &(-1)^{|a|(|b|+|c|)} \{b, \{c, a_1\}^* * a_2 + (-1)^{|c||a_1|} a_1 * \{c, a_2\}^* - D(c)^* a_1 * a_2\}^* = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^{|b||a|+|c|(|a|+|b|)}(\{c, \{b, a_1\}^*\}^* * a_2 + (-1)^{(|b|+|a_1|)|c|}\{b, a_1\}^* * \{c, a_2\}^* - D(c)^* * \{b, a_1\}^* * a_2) + \\
& (-1)^{|b||a|+|c|(|b|+|a|)+|b||a_1|}(\{c, a_1\}^* * \{b, a_2\}^* + (-1)^{|c||a_1|}a_1 * \{c, \{b, a_2\}^*\}^* - D(c)^* * a_1 * \{b, a_2\}^*) + \\
& (-1)^{|b||a|+|c|(|a|+|b|)}(\{c, D(b)^*\}^* * a + (-1)^{|c||b|}D(b)^* * \{c, a\}^* - D(c)^* * D(b)^* * a) - \\
& (-1)^{|a|(|b|+|c|)}(\{b, \{c, a_1\}^*\}^* * a_2 + (-1)^{|b|(|c|+|a_1|)}\{c, a_1\}^* * \{b, a_2\}^* - D(b)^* * \{c, a_1\}^* * a_2) - \\
& (-1)^{|a|(|b|+|c|)+|c||a|}(\{b, a_1\}^* * \{c, a_2\}^* + (-1)^{|b||a_1|}a_1 * \{b, \{c, a_2\}^*\}^* - D(b)^* * a_1 * \{c, a_2\}^*) - \\
& (-1)^{|a|(|b|+|c|)}(\{b, D(c)^*\}^* * a + (-1)^{|b||c|}D(c)^* * \{b, a\}^* - D(b)^* * D(c)^* * a) = \\
& -(-1)^{|a|(|b|+|c|)}(\{\{b, a_1\}^*, c\}^* + (-1)^{|b||a_1|}\{b, \{c, a_1\}^*\}^*) * a_2 \\
& + (-1)^{(|b|+|c|)|a_1|}a_1 * (\{\{b, a_2\}^*, c\}^* + (-1)^{|b||a_2|}\{b, \{c, a_2\}^*\}^*) - \{D(b)^*, c\}^* * a - \{b, D(c)^*\}^* * a = \\
& -(-1)^{|a||bc|}(\{\{b, c\}^*, a_1\}^* * a_2 + (-1)^{|bc||a_1|}a_1 * \{\{b, c\}^*, a_2\}^* - D(\{b, c\}^*)^* * a) = \{a_1 * a_2, \{b, c\}^*\}^*.
\end{aligned}$$

If $a \in M$, $b \in U \setminus M$ or $c \in U \setminus M$, then designating a, b, c , we can use the given calculations. That is, the superalgebra satisfies (10) and it follows that the theorem is proved.

Let $GenP(n)$ be a space of multi-homogeneous components of free generalized Poisson algebra $GenP$ with $n+1$ generators $1, x_1, \dots, x_n$. Then

Theorem 3. $\dim(GenP(n)) = n \cdot n!$.

Proof. Note that, we consider the vector space of free algebra $GenP[1, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ as a subspace in the free Poisson algebra $P[1, x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $n+1$ generators. It is well-known that the dimension of the space of multi-homogeneous components of the free Poisson algebra with $n+1$ generators is a $(n+1)!$. Using the description of basis of free generalized Poisson algebra (Theorem 2), we can define the structure of the basis of the space of multi-homogeneous components. That is, the basis of the space of multi-homogeneous components of the free generalized Poisson algebra with generators $1, x_1, \dots, x_n$ and the basis of the space of multi-homogeneous components of the free Poisson algebra with generators $1, x_1, \dots, x_n$, has distinction only for elements $1 \cdot y$, where y is an arbitrary element from the basis of the free Poisson algebra with generators $x_1, \dots, x_n$. That is, $\dim(GenP(n)) = (n+1)! - n! = n \cdot n!$. The Theorem is proved.

3. A BASIS OF FREE SUPERALGEBRA OF JORDAN BRACKETS.

Let us now consider free superalgebras of Jordan brackets. Let D_X be a free non-associative superdialgebra over field k with set of even and odd generators $X = X_0 \cup X_1 = \{1, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, and two multiplications $\cdot$ and $\{, \}$.

We consider ideal I of super-dialgebra D_X , generated by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{ \{a, b\} + (-1)^{|a||b|}\{b, a\}, a \cdot b - (-1)^{|a||b|}b \cdot a, 1 \cdot a - a, \\
& \{a, b\} \cdot c + (-1)^{|a||b|}b \cdot \{a, c\} - D(a) \cdot b \cdot c - \{a, b \cdot c\}, \\
& \{\{a, b\}, c\} + (-1)^{|a||b|}\{b, \{a, c\}\} - \{a, \{b, c\}\} - D(a)\{b, c\} - (-1)^{|a||bc|}D(b)\{c, a\} - (-1)^{|c||ab|}D(c)\{a, b\} | \\
& a, b, c \in D_{X_0} \cup D_{X_1} \},
\end{aligned}$$

where $D(a) = \{a, 1\}$. We say, that super-dialgebra D_X/I is a free unital superalgebra of Jordan brackets.

Let JB be a free unital superalgebra of Jordan brackets with set of generators X . We assume, that X is a linearly ordered set and $1 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$. All elements from X are good words. Let we defined all good words with length less than n . The word w with length n in alphabet X is a good word, if:

- 1) $w = \{u, v\}$, where u, v are good words and $u > v$;
- 2) if $w = \{\{u_1, u_2\}, v\}$, then $u_2 \leq v$.

The order on good words with length less or equal n , we define as that it be consistent with order to correct for existing words, and satisfy the conditions if word w is a good and $w = \{u, v\}$, then $w > u, v$.

Define the set M as

$$M = \{u, \{v, v\} | u, v \text{ are good words in alphabet } X, v \in JB_1\}.$$

We define a linear order on the set M . Let $u > v$, if $\deg(u) > \deg(v)$; if $\deg(u) = \deg(v)$, then for $u = \{u_1, u_2\}, v = \{v_1, v_2\}$ (where $u_1 > u_2, v_1 > v_2$) we say $u > v$ if $u_1 > v_1$, and if $u_1 = v_1$ we say $u > v$ if $u_2 > v_2$. The elements of set M we denote as e_i , where $e_i < e_j$ if $i < j$.

Let L_X be a free non-associative superalgebra over field k with set of generators X and multiplication $\{, \}_L$. Consider ideal J of superalgebra L_X , generated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ \{a, b\} + (-1)^{|a||b|} \{b, a\}, \\ & \{ \{a, b\}, c\} + (-1)^{|a||b|} \{b, \{a, c\}\} - \{a, \{b, c\}\} \mid a, b, c \in L_{X_0} \cup L_{X_1} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Superalgebra L_X/J is a free Lie superalgebra [25]. As proved in [25], the set M is a basis of a free Lie superalgebra L_X/J .

Let K be an ideal in D_X generated by elements with type $\{x \cdot y \mid x, y \neq 1\}$. Easy to see, that $(D_X/I)/K \cong L_X/J$.

Let

$$U = \{e_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots e_{i_n}^{k_n} \mid e_{i_1} \neq 1, e_{i_m} \in M, i_1 < \dots < i_n\} \cup \{1\}.$$

The set U is closed under multiplication $\cdot$. The multiplication $\cdot$ is a supercommutative, and we can consider elements of M as $e_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots e_{i_n}^{k_n}$, where $k_i \neq 0$, and if $e_{i_j} \in JB_1$, then $k_j = 1$. We say that element $e_{i_1}^{k_1} \dots e_{i_n}^{k_n}$ has degree $\deg_e = \sum k_i$. For element $e_i \in M$ we define $\deg_L$ as length of word with generators X . The degree of element f is a number of generator elements in word f .

The main goal of this chapter is a description of basis of free superalgebra of Jordan brackets. We will prove that set U is a basis of free superalgebra of Jordan brackets.

Lemma 4. *The set U is a basis of free superalgebra JB as a vector space.*

Proof. Similar to proof of Lemma 1.

We define linear mapping $*$: $U \rightarrow U$ as:

- 1) if $w \in X$, then $w^* = w$;
- 2) if $w \in M$, then $w^* = w$;
- 3) if $a = \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{a_k}, b = \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{b_k}$, then

$$(ab)^* = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^n |e_i^{a_i}| |e_{i+1}^{b_{i+1}} \dots e_n^{b_n}|} \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{a_k + b_k}.$$

4) if we defined mapping $*$ for all words with length less than n and for all elements u with $u < w$. Then word $w = \{w_1, w_2\}$ with $w_1 = \{w_{11}, w_{12}\}$, where $w_1, w_2, w_{11}, w_{12} \in M$ and $\{w_{11}, w_{12}\} = w_1, w_{11}, w_{12} > w_2$, we can consider as element of superalgebra $(M, \{, \})$ and using (2) we define w^* as a linear combination of elements from

$$W = \{ \{ \{w_{11}, w_2\}, w_{12} \}, \{w_{12}, w_2\}, w_{11} \}, D(w_2) \cdot \{w_{11}, w_{12}\}, D(w_{11}) \cdot \{w_2, w_{12}\}, D(w_{12}) \cdot \{w_2, w_{11}\} \},$$

where either $u \in W$ and $u < w$ or $u \in W$ and $u = u_1 \cdot u_2$, where $u_1, u_2 < w$. It means, that for every $u \in W$ we can define u^* .

5) if we defined mapping $*$ for all words with length less than m , then for word $w = \{w_1, w_2\}$ with length m , where w_1 or w_2 is an element of $U \setminus M$, we can say that $w_2 \in U \setminus M$ and $w_2 = \prod_{k=1}^n e_k^{t_k}$, then $(\{w_1, w_2\})^* =$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{|e_1^{t_1} \dots e_{k-1}^{t_{k-1}}| |e_k^{t_k}|} t_k \left(\{w_1, e_k\}^* \frac{w_2}{e_k} \right)^* - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n t_k - 1 \right) (D(w_1)^* w_2)^*,$$

where in the right part of expression elements with type $\{x, y\}^*$ was defined above.

Theorem 5. *The set U is a basis of free superalgebra JB .*

Proof. Similar to proof of Theorem 2.

Let $JB(n)$ be a space of multi-homogeneous components of free unital algebra of Jordan brackets JB with $n+1$ generators $1, x_1, \dots, x_n$. Then

Theorem 6. $\dim(JB(n)) = n \cdot n!$.

Proof. Similar to proof of Theorema 3.

Using Theorem 2 and 5 and [17], we can conclude

Theorem 7. *The unital superalgebra of Jordan brackets $(A, \cdot, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is a free (or a simple) if and only if the unital generalized Poisson superalgebra $(A, \cdot, \{\cdot, \cdot\}_D)$ is a free (or a simple).*

4. ANALOGUES OF FARKAS' THEOREM FOR PI ALGEBRAS.

The celebrated Amitsur-Levitsky Theorem says that $M_k(R)$, the $k \times k$ matrices over a commutative ring R , satisfies the identity $s_{2k} = 0$. The analogue of Amitsur-Levitsky Theorem for matrix superalgebras was proved in [26]. Furthermore, by a well-known theorem of Amitsur, any associative PI algebra satisfies the condition that some l -th power of some k -th standard polynomial s_k is zero: $(s_k)^l = 0$. Poisson PI algebras were studied in [27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. D. Farkas defined

$$g = \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} c_\sigma \{x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}\} \cdots \{x_{\sigma(2i-1)}, x_{\sigma(2i)}\}$$

as customary polynomials and proved that every Poisson PI algebra satisfies some customary identity [27]. Note that, in [9] was proved the Theorem of Farkas for generic Poisson algebras. For generalized Poisson algebras and algebras of Jordan brackets, the analogue of the customary identities is

$$g_* = \sum_{i=0}^{[m/2]} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} c_{\sigma,i} \langle x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)} \rangle \cdots \langle x_{\sigma(2i-1)}, x_{\sigma(2i)} \rangle D(x_{\sigma(2i+1)}) \cdots D(x_{\sigma(m)}), \quad (11)$$

where

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \{x, y\} - (D(x)y - xD(y)).$$

It is easy to see that algebra $(JB, \cdot, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ satisfies identities

$$\langle x, y \rangle = -\langle y, x \rangle,$$

$$\langle x, y \cdot z \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle \cdot z + y \cdot \langle x, z \rangle,$$

$$\langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle + \langle \langle y, z \rangle, x \rangle + \langle \langle z, x \rangle, y \rangle = D(x)\{y, z\} + D(y)\{z, x\} + D(z)\{x, y\}.$$

That is, $(JB, \cdot, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is not a Poisson algebra, but it is a generic Poisson algebra (see [?]). In [20] it was proved that if Kantor's double of superalgebra $(JB, \cdot, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is a special Jordan superalgebra, then $(JB, \cdot, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ satisfies identity

$$\langle \langle a, b \rangle, c \rangle = D(a)\langle b, c \rangle + (-1)^{|a||b|} D(b)\langle a, c \rangle.$$

Using ideas from [27], we will prove an analogue of Farkas' Theorem. Namely, that every PI unital generalized Poisson algebra and PI unital algebra of Jordan brackets satisfies some special identity like (11).

For homogeneous Lie word f with letters $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ we define degree as associative monomial $x_1^{\deg_{x_1}(f)} \cdots x_n^{\deg_{x_n}(f)}$. Introduce additional useful notation

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} := \{\dots \{x_1, x_2\}, \dots, x_n\},$$

Following [22, 23], it is easy to see that:

Lemma 8. *Let L be a free Lie algebra with generators $1, y, x_1, \dots, x_n$. The basis of the space with components with degree $1^k y x_1 \dots x_n$ is a set of elements with type*

$$\{y, y_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, y_{\sigma(n+k)}\},$$

where $y_i = x_i, 0 < i < n+1, y_i = 1, n < i < n+k+1$.

Lemma 9. *With notation as above,*

$$\{yz, w_1, \dots, w_n\} =$$

$$\sum \pm \{y, w_{i_1(1)}, \dots, w_{i_1(k_1)}\} \{z, w_{i_2(1)}, \dots, w_{i_2(k_2)}\} \{1, w_{i_3(1)}, \dots, w_{i_3(k_3)}\} \dots \{1, w_{i_l(1)}, \dots, w_{i_l(k_l)}\},$$

summed over all possibilities for which each subscript appears exactly once in each summand and all sequences $\{i_1(1), \dots, i_1(k_1)\}, \dots, \{i_l(1), \dots, i_l(k_l)\}$ are monotone increasing, all elements $i_j(t)$ are different and $1 \leq i_j(t) \leq n$, also $k_1 + \dots + k_l = n$. (In the case of $p = 0$, the singleton $\{x\}$ is identified as x .)

Proof. Easy to see by induction.

Lemma 10. *With notation as above,*

$$\{w_1, \dots, w_r, yz, w_{r+1}, \dots, w_{r+n}\} =$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum (& \pm \{w_0, y, w_{i_1(1)}, \dots, w_{i_1(k_1)}\} \{z, w_{i_2(1)}, \dots, w_{i_2(k_2)}\} \{1, w_{i_3(1)}, \dots, w_{i_3(k_3)}\} \dots \{1, w_{i_l(1)}, \dots, w_{i_l(k_l)}\} \\ & \pm \{y, w_{i_1(1)}, \dots, w_{i_1(k_1)}\} \{w_0, z, w_{i_2(1)}, \dots, w_{i_2(k_2)}\} \{1, w_{i_3(1)}, \dots, w_{i_3(k_3)}\} \dots \{1, w_{i_l(1)}, \dots, w_{i_l(k_l)}\} \\ & \pm \{y, w_{i_1(1)}, \dots, w_{i_1(k_1)}\} \{z, w_{i_2(1)}, \dots, w_{i_2(k_2)}\} \{D(w_0), w_{i_3(1)}, \dots, w_{i_3(k_3)}\} \dots \{1, w_{i_l(1)}, \dots, w_{i_l(k_l)}\}), \end{aligned}$$

where $w_0 = \{w_1, \dots, w_r\}$.

Proof. If $r = 0$ this lemma follows immediately from the previous one. So we assume that $r \geq 1$ and for $\beta = \{yz, w_0, w_{r+1}, \dots, w_{r+n}\}$ we apply the previous lemma formally to this new expression. Easy calculations give the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 11. *Assume f is a multi-linear Lie polynomial in the letters $x, w_1, \dots, w_m$, and f is an associative algebraic derivation in x when considered as a Poisson polynomial. Then $\deg(f) = 2$.*

Proof. Let f have a degree $1^k x w_1 \dots w_m$. Set $w_i = 1, m < i < m + k + 1$ and $x = w_{m+k+1}$. By Lemma 8, we may write

$$h = \sum c_\sigma \{w_1, w_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(m+k+1)}\},$$

where σ runs over permutations of $2, \dots, m + k + 1$ and c_σ is a scalar. We compute the derivation difference of h with respect to $w_{m+k+1} \rightarrow yz$.

One of the many summands will be the product of c_σ and

$$\{w_1, w_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(t-1)}, y\} \{z, w_{\sigma(t+1)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(m+k+1)}\}.$$

And one of the many others summands will be product of c_σ and $yzD\{w_1, w_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(m+k)}\}$. But this expressions determines σ ; it does not appear in the derivation difference for any other permutation. If we specify an ordering of the variables

$$y < z < w_1 < \dots < w_n,$$

then these special expressions are linearly independent for $\deg(f) > 2$ by the application following Lemma 8. The Lemma is proved.

For polynomial $f(x, \dots)$ we define

$$\Delta_{yz}^x(f) := f(yz, \dots) - yf(z, \dots) - zf(y, \dots).$$

It is easy to see, that if $\Delta_{yz}^x(f) \neq 0$ and algebra A satisfies identity f , then A also satisfies identity $\Delta_{yz}^x(f)$.

For Poisson polynomial f we define x -height(f) as maximal length of $\{, \}$ -subword with x .

Lemma 12. *If multi-linear Poisson polynomial f is an associative derivation in any letter x , then x -height(f) < 3 .*

Proof. Let $f = \sum_\gamma f_\gamma \gamma$, where f_γ be a Lie polynomials with degree $1^k x t$ for any t . We consider $f_\gamma = \sum_k f_\gamma^k$, where the degree of polynomial f_γ^k is $1^k x t$. On polynomials f_γ^k we choose a polynomials with maximum $\deg(t)$ and after that polynomial with the maximum k . Let it is f^* with degree $1^k x x_1 \dots x_n$. Continuing the argument similar to that of Lemma 11, we obtain that if $\deg(f^*) > 2$, then $\Delta_{yz}^x(f) \neq 0$. Following, $\deg(f_\gamma) < 3$ and x -height(f) < 3 . The Lemma is proved.

The main result of this chapter is following theorem.

Theorem 13. *If unital generalized Poisson algebra A satisfies polynomial identity g_0 , then A satisfies polynomial identity g_* of type (11).*

Proof. Using ideas from [27], we consider three steps.

Step 1. Let $x\text{-height}(g_0) > 2$. Then algebra A satisfies identity $g_1 = \Delta_{yz}^x(g_0)$. By Lemma 12, $g_1 \neq 0$. Note that $x\text{-height}(g_0) > y\text{-height}(g_1), z\text{-height}(g_1)$. If polynomial g_1 has letter w with $w\text{-height}(g_1) > 2$, then we will continue the process. That is, in the end we can obtain that algebra A satisfies some identity f , where arbitrary letter v has $v\text{-height}(f) < 3$.

Step 2. Let algebra A satisfy identity f and $x\text{-height}(f) < 3$ for arbitrary letter x from f . Assume that f is not an associative derivation for any letter x . Then

$$f = xT + D(x)T_0 + \sum \{x, x_i\}T_i.$$

We consider

$$\Delta_{yz}^x(f) = -yzT + yz \sum D(x_i)T_i \neq 0.$$

It is easy to see that unital algebra A will satisfy identity $f^* = -T + \sum D(x_i)T_i$, with less letters than identity f . That is, if identity f^* is not a derivation for any letter t , then we can continue this process. The process is finite, and algebra A satisfies some identity g with $x\text{-height}(g) < 3$, and g is an associative derivation on every letter.

Step 3. For polynomial g with letters $x_1, \dots, x_n$, every associative subword with type $\{x, y\}$ we can consider as $\langle x, y \rangle + (D(x)y - xD(y))$. It follows that polynomial g we can consider as $g_* + D(x_1)T_1 + x_1R_1$, where g_* has type (11). It is easy to see that g_* is an associative derivation on every letter, then algebra A will satisfy identity R_1 . It follows that A satisfies identity $g_* + D(x_1)T_1$. We will consider $D(x_1)T_1$ as $D(x_2)T_2 + x_2R_2$. That is, algebra A satisfies an identity $g_* + D(x_1)D(x_2)T_{1,2}$. Continuing this process, we find that algebra A satisfies identity g_* of the form (11). The Theorem is proved.

As follows, we can obtain

Corollary 14. *Every PI generalized Poisson algebra satisfies an identity of the following type*

$$f_* = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\sigma \in S_m} c_{\sigma,i} \prod_{k=1}^i [x_{\sigma(2k-1)}; x_{\sigma(2k)}; z_{2k-1}; z_{2k}] \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{m-2i} \{x_{\sigma(2i+k)}; z_{2i+2k-1}; z_{2i+2k}\} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{2i} z_{2m-2i+k}, \quad (12)$$

where

$$[u_1; u_2; w_1; w_2] =$$

$$\{u_1, u_2\}w_1w_2 + \{u_1, w_1w_2\}u_2 + u_1\{w_1w_2, u_2\} + \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S_2} \{u_{\sigma_1(1)}, w_{\sigma_2(1)}\}u_{\sigma_1(2)}w_{\sigma_2(2)},$$

$$\{t_1; t_2; t_3\} = \{t_2t_3, t_1\} - \{t_2, t_1\}t_3 - \{t_3, t_1\}t_2.$$

Proof. By theorem 13, every PI generalized Poisson algebra satisfies an identity g_* of type (11). Multiplying g_* and $\prod_{k=1}^{2m} z_k$, note that

$$w_1w_2\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle = [u_1; u_2; w_1; w_2] \text{ and } t_2t_3D(t_1) = \{t_1; t_2; t_3\}.$$

It is easy to see the corollary is proved.

Also, we can prove an analogue of Farkas' Theorem for PI algebras of Jordan brackets:

Theorem 15. *If unital algebra of Jordan brackets A satisfies polynomial identity g_0 , then A satisfies polynomial identities of types (11) and (12).*

Proof. We consider generalized Poisson algebra A_D with vector space A and multiplications $\cdot$ and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}_D$. It is easy to see, that algebra A_D is a PI algebra. Using Theorem 13, we can obtain that algebra A_D satisfies a polynomial identity g of type (11). Noted that, $2D_D = D$ and

$$\{x, y\}_D + xD_D(y) - D_D(x)y = \{x, y\} + xD(y) - D(x)y,$$

we conclude that algebra A satisfies a polynomial identity of type (11). And using proof of Corollary 14, we find that algebra A satisfies polynomial identity of type (12). The Theorem is proved.

5. KANTOR'S DOUBLE FOR GENERIC POISSON SUPERALGEBRAS.

Let us give the definition of a generic Poisson superalgebra [9]. We consider a vector space GP with associative supercommutative multiplication $x \cdot y$ and superanticommutative multiplication $\{x, y\}$. If superalgebra $(GP, \cdot, \{, \})$ satisfies the Leibniz identity, i.e.,

$$\{x, y \cdot z\} = \{x, y\} \cdot z + (-1)^{|x||y|} y \cdot \{x, z\}, \quad (13)$$

then GP is a generic Poisson superalgebra (GP superalgebra). It is easy to see that if $(GP, \{, \})$ is a Lie superalgebra, then $(GP, \cdot, \{, \})$ is a Poisson superalgebra. It is well-known that Kantor's Double of a Poisson superalgebra is a Jordan superalgebra [10]. There naturally arises a

Question. When is Kantor's double of a generic Poisson superalgebra a Jordan superalgebra?

We gave the answer on this question in following

Theorem 16. *Let A be a GP superalgebra over field F with characteristic $\neq 2, 3$, then $K(A)$ is a Jordan superalgebra if and only if A satisfies special identity*

$$(\{\{a, b\}, c\} - (-1)^{|b||c|} \{\{a, c\}, b\} - \{a, \{b, c\}\})d = 0 \quad (14)$$

for homogeneous elements $a, b, c, d \in GP_0 \cup GP_1$.

Proof. In [14] it was proved that if $(B, \cdot)$ is an associative supercommutative superalgebra and $(B, \{, \})$ is a superanticommutative superalgebra, then $K(B)$ is a Jordan superalgebra if and only if for homogeneous elements superalgebra B satisfies following identities

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{(k+j)i} (\{h_k k_l, g_j\} f_i - h_k k_l \{g_j, f_i\}) = \\ & (-1)^{(l+j)k} (\{k_l f_i, g_j\} h_k - k_l f_i \{g_j, h_k\}), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{(i+j)l} (\{f_i h_k g_j, k_l\} - f_i h_k \{g_j, k_l\}) = \\ & (-1)^{(k+j)i} (\{h_k k_l, g_j\} f_i - \{h_k k_l, g_j f_i\}) + \\ & (-1)^{(l+j)k} (\{k_l f_i, g_j\} h_k - \{k_l f_i, g_j h_k\}), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{(i+j)l} \{\{f_i, h_k\} g_j, k_l\} + (-1)^{(k+j)i} \{\{h_k, k_l\} g_j, f_i\} + \\ & (-1)^{(l+j)k} \{\{k_l, f_i\} g_j, h_k\} = (-1)^{(i+j)l} \{f_i, h_k\} \{g_j, k_l\} + \\ & (-1)^{(k+j)i} \{h_k, k_l\} \{g_j, f_i\} + (-1)^{(l+j)k} \{k_l, f_i\} \{g_j, h_k\}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $k_i, h_i, g_i, f_i \in B_i$.

It is easy to see that if an algebra satisfies identity (13), then it satisfies identities (15, 16). Using identity (13) for (17), we get (14). The Theorem is proved.

Corollary 17. *If A is a unital GP (non-Poisson) superalgebra, then $K(A)$ is not a Jordan superalgebra.*

Corollary 18. *If A is a GP (non-Poisson) superalgebra and $(A, \cdot)$ is an algebra with trivial annihilator (for example, prime algebra), then $K(A)$ is not Jordan superalgebra.*

Example 19. *Let A be a non-Lie anticommutative algebra with multiplication $\{, \}$ (for example, a Malcev or a binary-Lie algebra). We define new multiplication $x \cdot y = 0$. Then, algebra $(A, \cdot, \{, \})$ is a GP (non-Poisson) algebra, and satisfies identity (14), and $K(A)$ is a Jordan superalgebra.*

Acknowledgments: I am grateful to Prof. Dr. Ivan Shestakov (IME-USP, Brazil) for the idea of this work and some interesting comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Shestakov I., *Quantization of Poisson superalgebras and the speciality of Jordan superalgebras of Poisson type*, Algebra and Logic, 32, 1993, no. 5, 309-317.
- [2] Shestakov I., Umirbaev U., *Poisson brackets and two-generated subalgebras of rings of polynomials*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 17, 2004, no. 1, 181-196.
- [3] Shestakov I., Umirbaev U., *The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 17, 2004, no. 1, 197-227.
- [4] Makar-Limanov L., Umirbaev U., *Centralizers in free Poisson algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 135, 2007, no. 7, 1969-1975.
- [5] Makar-Limanov L., Turusbekova U., Umirbaev U., *Automorphisms and derivations of free Poisson algebras in two variables*, J. Algebra, 322, 2009, no. 9, 3318-3330.
- [6] Makar-Limanov L., Shestakov I., *Polynomial and Poisson dependence in free Poisson algebras and free Poisson fields*, J. Algebra, 349, 2012, 372-379.
- [7] Makar-Limanov L., Umirbaev U., *The Freiheitssatz for Poisson algebras*, J. Algebra, 328, 2011, 495-503.
- [8] Umirbaev U., *Universal enveloping algebras and universal derivations of Poisson algebras*, J. Algebra, 354, 2012, 77-94.
- [9] Kolesnikov P., Makar-Limanov L., Shestakov I., *The Freiheitssatz for generic Poisson algebras*, Symmetry Integrability and Geometry-Methods and Applications, 10, 2014, 115.
- [10] Kantor I. L., *Connection between Poisson brackets and Jordan and Lie superalgebras*, Lie Theory, Differential Equations and Representation Theory (Montreal, 1989), Univ. Montreal, Montreal, QC, 1990, 213-225.
- [11] Kantor I. L., *Jordan and Lie superalgebras determined by a Poisson algebra*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., 151, 1992, 55-80.
- [12] King D., McCrimmon K., *The Kantor construction of Jordan superalgebras*, Comm. Algebra, 20, 1992, no. 1, 109-126.
- [13] King D., McCrimmon K., *The Kantor doubling process revisited*, Comm. Algebra, 23, 1995, no. 1, 357-372.
- [14] Kaygorodov I., *Generalized Kantor Double*, Vestnik of Samara State Univ., 78, 2010, no. 4, 42-50.
- [15] Martinez C., Zelmanov E., *Simple finite-dimensional Jordan superalgebras of prime characteristic*, J. Algebra, 236, 2001, no. 2, 575-629.
- [16] Zakharov A., *Novikov-Poisson algebras and superalgebras of Jordan brackets*, Comm. Algebra, 42, 2014, no. 5, 2285-2298.
- [17] Cantarini N., Kac V., *Classification of linearly compact simple Jordan and generalized Poisson superalgebras*, J. Algebra, 313, 2007, 100-124.
- [18] Kaygorodov I., Zhelyabin V., *On δ -superderivations of simple superalgebras of Jordan brackets*, St. Petersburg Math. J., 23, 2012, no. 4, 665-677.
- [19] Martinez C., Shestakov I., Zelmanov E., *Jordan superalgebras defined by brackets*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 64, 2001, 357-368.
- [20] Shestakov I., *On speciality of Jordan brackets*, Algebra and Discrete Math., 2009, no. 3, 94-101.
- [21] Shirshov A., *On the bases of a free Lie algebra (Russian)*, Algebra i Logika Sem., 1, 1962, no. 1, 14-19.
- [22] Chibrikov E., *The right-normed basis of a free Lie superalgebra and Lyndon-Shirshov words*, Algebra and Logic, 45, 2006, no. 4, 261-276.
- [23] Chibrikov E., *A right normed basis for free Lie algebras and Lyndon-Shirshov words*, J. Algebra, 302, 2006, no. 2, 593-612.
- [24] Poroshenko E., *Bases for partially commutative Lie algebras*, Algebra and Logic, 50, 2011, no. 5, 405-417.
- [25] Shtern A., *Free Lie superalgebras*, Siberian Math. J., 27, 1986, no. 1, 136-140.
- [26] Samoilov L., *An analogue of the Amitsur-Levitzki theorem for matrix superalgebras*, Sib. Math. J., 51, 2010, no. 3, 491-495.
- [27] Farkas D., *Poisson polynomial identities*, Comm. Algebra, 26, 1998, no. 2, 401-416.
- [28] Farkas D., *Poisson polynomial identities. II*, Arch. Math. (Basel), 72, 1999, no. 4, 252-260.
- [29] Mishchenko S., Petrogradsky V., Regev A., *Poisson PI algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 359, 2007, no. 10, 4669-4694.
- [30] Ratseev S., *Poisson algebras of polynomial growth*, Sib. Math. J., 54, 2013, no. 3, 555-565.
- [31] Pozhidaev A., *Poisson and Filippov superalgebras*, Sib. Math. J., 56, 2015, no. 3, 505-515.